

“BREXIT” – INITIAL MARKET COMMENTARY

Prepared as of 6/29/2016

FISHER INVESTMENTS™
INSTITUTIONAL GROUP

The British people have officially voted to leave the European Union (EU). While Scotland, Northern Ireland and London supported remaining in the EU, Wales and the remainder of England overwhelmingly voted to leave. At final tally, 52% voted “Leave” versus 48% “Remain”. British PM David Cameron, a staunch supporter of remaining in the EU, announced his resignation following the vote, and expects to officially step down by the Tory Conference in October. Markets, having largely priced in a “Remain” vote earlier in the week, dropped sharply across the board as the results were released. The Sterling hit 30-year lows and most markets saw significant volatility in early trading hours. Despite the market’s sharp reaction to the event, very little has actually been established. We expect the recent volatility to be temporary, and that most long-term risks relate to potential unintended consequences of renegotiation of trade agreements and other pacts. At this time, we do not see sufficient justification to change our overall portfolio positioning.

Trade

We believe that the potential disruption of trade is one of the most tangible issues of the vote. The EU is the UK’s largest trading partner, receiving nearly half (44.4%) of UK exports. Free access to the single market has been mutually advantageous for most EU and UK companies – particularly the sizable UK Financial sector. Further, the UK has trade agreements with 53 outside countries through its EU membership - including Canada and Vietnam.

Importantly, Brexit does not nullify any trade agreements immediately. According to Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty, the UK will have at least two years to negotiate a new relationship with the EU, during which all treaties will remain in effect. Because Cameron is leaving the execution of Article 50 to his successor, this makes October 2018 the earliest date the UK could technically exit the EU. The negotiating process will likely be extensive and drawn out, allowing time for the market to adjust. The UK’s current lack of a trade representative will require the creation and staffing of a new ministry, delaying the start of negotiations and likely postponing the realized exit date toward the end of 2018.

In the event that a new trade deal does not materialize within the allotted two years, Britain has the advantage of having a “most favored nation” status at the World Trade Organization (WTO). This status gives Britain access to low tariffs and minimal administrative barriers with fellow WTO members and acts as an effective safety net for trade. The UK has already made moves to ensure this status continues, reducing most tariffs and barriers to WTO norms. While in the long-term, trade deals will be put in place, this status could help protect Britain’s near-term future.

On the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), Brexit will have little impact. The TTIP was always going to require approval by all EU member-states and has been unlikely to come to fruition in the foreseeable future, with or without UK membership in the EU. Trade deals between multiple parties are always difficult to complete – there are simply too many competing interests.

UK Fundamentals

Despite all of the uncertainty, we would note that the UK benefits from having a strong fundamental economy. While growth slowed slightly in Q1 (+1.4% from +2.4% in Q4), the UK has had 13 consecutive quarters of GDP growth. Underlying this growth is the strong UK labor market. The unemployment rate at its lowest level in this market cycle, and aggregate wages continue growing around 2.0% y/y. Yield curves are still positively sloped, suggesting lending should support growth looking forward. Even in the event of a credit downgrade, we think the UK's free markets, rule of law, stable property rights, liquid capital markets, low corporate taxes and a highly trained work force ultimately make it a desirable place for investment. These should remain intact regardless of the outcome of the referendum, especially after the UK renegotiates any broken trade contracts. As the Brexit fog lifts, we expect investors will see economic fundamentals like these more clearly, and the fear of major Brexit fallout will give way to relief.

Political Impacts

On the political front, British Prime Minister David Cameron has said he would resign after Britain voted to leave the EU, anticipating a replacement by October. Leadership contests take time, and it is impossible to know today who will win. Former London Mayor and leader of the Tories' "Leave" campaign, Boris Johnson, is a leading candidate to replace David Cameron. The Leave campaign gave Mr. Johnson exposure to a much wider UK audience than he previously had as London Mayor. Johnson has said he is interested in the position if the post were to become available. Brexit campaigner and Justice Secretary Michael Gove is a potential contender. While Gove is well respected, some members of his party are concerned he would not be able to appeal to and win votes from the whole country. "Remain" campaigners Home Secretary Theresa May and Chancellor George Osborne are also possible candidates—although both do not poll well in conservative home polls. More candidates will likely emerge in the coming days.

A noisy leadership campaign could impact sentiment, but whoever wins, the result should be largely the same: gridlock. The Conservative party is deeply split, and divisions will not improve overnight. The leadership change could also trigger new elections—allowable under extraordinary circumstances under the Fixed-Term Parliaments Act—as Tory leadership remembers the flak Gordon Brown received for not asking voters for a new mandate after he took the reins from Tony Blair.

While political uncertainty likely lingers as this situation develops, a gridlocked government—regardless of its leaders—is a positive for markets. Uncertainty over personalities impacts sentiment. But it also forestalls radical legislation, which, all else equal, reduces legislative risk for markets. The leadership contest will also delay the start of official negotiations with the EU, as Cameron said his



successor will be responsible for invoking Article 50. This slows the process further, giving markets even more time to slowly discount the eventuality of Brexit.

The Future of the UK

The vote also brought to light questions about the future of the United Kingdom. Among the four constituent countries, England and Wales voted to leave while Scotland and Northern Ireland strongly supported staying. Scotland in particular previously voted to remain in the UK, largely as a result of believing the UK would remain in the EU. Scotland’s aging population benefits greatly from EU immigrants and agricultural subsidies, and its industrial base depends on access to the single market. First Minister Nicola Sturgeon has already begun calling for a second referendum.

Unionist support could fall in Northern Ireland, which benefits tremendously from a lack of border controls with the Republic. Leaving the EU, as many have noted, likely means reinstating the border, ending the free movement of goods and people that has boosted both sides. Sinn Féin, the nationalist party, has said the vote nullifies Westminster’s mandate “to represent the economic or political interests of people in Northern Ireland,” and deputy First Minister Martin McGuinness has called for a referendum on a united Ireland. Some argue an essentially English decision to reinstate Northern Irish border controls would violate the Good Friday Accords. The security, political and economic implications for both sides are unknown.

Impact on the EU

For most other EU countries, trade is once again the primary issue. Countries with disproportionately large trade relationships with the UK have the most to lose – including Germany, the Netherlands, and Ireland. For Germany, the UK is not only its largest trading partner in Europe (particularly for the automotive sector) but also a political ally in the European Parliament. Germany and the UK have traditionally been the pro-free market voices in the Council of Ministers, counterbalanced by the French led pro-regulation forces. A Brexit would shift this balance, potentially lowering Germany’s political influence.

Some fear Brexit could act as a catalyst to other countries’ Eurosceptic parties, adding legitimacy and providing a blueprint for leaving the EU. Parties in France, the Netherlands, Italy, Spain, Denmark, Austria, the Czech Republic, Germany and others have voiced support for the UK’s decision. While at this point it is difficult to make confident predictions, the UK’s relationship with the EU was notably different from most other countries. Countries also part of the EMU would face more significant headwinds, such as changing currencies, and their departure would likely have larger implications for the EU at large.



Logistically, leaving the EU is largely a two-step process. First, a member country votes internally, via a popular referendum (as in the UK) or through parliament on whether it still wants EU membership. There is no uniform method for calling a referendum across member countries. Each EU country has its own rules for calling a referendum written in its constitutional law and each country's set of rules is different. The rules cover both the process of initiating a vote and how quickly the voting can commence after a decision. Most countries require a certain number of registered voters to petition for a referendum, which varies from country to country (e.g. Italy requires 500,000 signatures while the Netherlands requires 300,000). Some referendums are non-binding recommendations and still require a bill passed through parliament (as in the UK), while others are binding. Following the internal decision, the member country would announce its decision to the EU and initiate the formal withdrawal process – article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty.

In the long-term, it is unclear whether a Brexit helps or hurts other countries' Eurosceptic movements, especially given the initial reactions of the market and second-guessing of many UK voters. Perhaps countries will have opportunistic politicians who push quickly to seize the moment, or maybe the lot of them will wait to see how Britain fares in the coming months and years before moving forward with anything meaningful. If Britain faces no major negative repercussions in the long-term, other countries will be more likely to at least entertain the possibility of leaving. This would certainly weaken the unity and future of the EU. Alternatively, other countries may realize how painful the process of leaving is, even for the UK – the fifth largest economy in the world. In either case, it's all speculation at the moment on events that could be years in the making.

Markets' Reaction

European Financials have taken the brunt of the negative short-term move, although, looking ahead, we do not expect markets' near-term reaction to have much staying power. These fears center around concerns that a UK recession could lead to higher bad debts and reduced loan growth, that narrowing yield curves lead to net interest margin compression, that overall capital markets activity slows and that additional operating costs increase from the movement of some headcount from the UK to EU.

To these, we note that banks have much stronger balance sheets and access to abundant central bank liquidity, which should provide ample resources to manage a lengthy negotiation process with the EU. The Bank of England announced it stands ready to provide £250 billion in short term liquidity and foreign currency. In addition, the European Central Bank's existing TLTRO II (targeted long term refinancing operation) programme can lend up to around €1.6 trillion in low cost, long term loans to European banks. Underlying this, we expect European Financials holdings to benefit from a strengthening UK and European economy and continued bull market, which should boost loan growth, net interest margins, and capital markets activity in spite of recent concerns otherwise.



Sterling’s immediate reaction was also extreme, but give it time. UK debt remains attractive because Britain is a developed market with strong property rights and rule of law, as well as deep and long-established financial markets. It is quite creditworthy and able to service debt, not only at today’s rates but even higher ones. Right now more than \$10 trillion of high-quality, liquid sovereign debt is trading at negative yields, so we believe many large global investors see gilts as an attractive option at roughly 1.37%.

In the near term, markets will likely see continued volatility as investors assess Brexit winners and losers, but completely unravelling the effects will take time. For now, the most immediate change will be increased uncertainty in the region. Globally, Brexit will likely not have sufficient power to derail global expansion. Corporate revenues and earnings should be better than most perceive, and sentiment should improve as uncertainty related to issues like China, resource prices and US elections should continue to abate.

While the unintended consequences of renegotiated trade and other pacts present risks, we view capital markets volatility related to Brexit as likely to be mostly short-term in nature and unlikely to fundamentally shift larger global equity market trends at this time. Again, as details emerge over the medium and longer term on how trade and other relationships will shift, this may cause us to change our view.

Source: FactSet, Bloomberg, ETF.com, Fisher Investments Research

The foregoing information constitutes the general views of Fisher Investments and should not be regarded as personalized investment advice or a reflection of the performance of Fisher Investments or its clients. Investment in securities involves the risk of loss. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Other methods may produce different results, and the results for different periods may vary depending on market conditions and the composition of a portfolio or index. If you have asked us to comment on a particular security then the information should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell the security for you or anyone else. We provide our general comments to you based on information we believe to be reliable. There can be no assurances that we will continue to hold this view; and we may change our views at any time based on new information, analysis or reconsideration. Some of the information we have produced for you may have been obtained from a third party source that is not affiliated with Fisher Investments. Fisher Investments does not provide tax advice and is not registered as a tax advisor. Fisher Investments requests that this information be used for your confidential and personal use.

